EXHIBIT 9

In the Matter Of:

NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY

DEPOSITION OF

DAVID CHASON

December 21, 2016



1201West Peachtree Street Suite 2300 Atlanta, GA 30309 404.847.0999

NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY DAVID CHASON on 12/21/2016

DEPOSITION OF

| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | OF MASSACHUSETTS | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | X : | | | |
| 5 | IN RE: NEW ENGLAND : COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. : | | | |
| 6 | PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION: MDL NO. 2419 : | | | |
| 7 | This Documents Relates to: : Master Docket : 1:13-MD-02419-RWZ | | | |
| 8 | All Cases against the Box : Hill Defendants : | | | |
| 9 | niii Deiendants : | | | |
| 10 | Δ | | | |
| 11 | DEPOSITION OF DAVID CHASON | | | |
| 12 | DEFOSITION OF DAVID CHASON | | | |
| 13 | WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2016 10:00 a.m. | | | |
| 14 | 10.00 a.m. | | | |
| 15 | Law Office of Peter G. Angelos One Charles Center | | | |
| 16 | 100 North Charles Street Suite 2200 | | | |
| 17 | Baltimore, MD 21201 | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | Before: Linda Bahur, RPR | | | |



1201West Peachtree Street Suite 2300 Atlanta, GA 30309 404.847.0999 www.DiscoveryLit.com

NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY DAVID CHASON on 12/21/2016

DEPOSITION OF Pages 118..121

| | Page 118 | | Page 120 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | cetera. | 1 | A Right. Yeah. |
| 2 | Q So when you said earlier that you didn't | 2 | Q But can we agree that in producing these |
| 3 | look into that or didn't have information related to | 3 | three lots of contaminated steroids that were at |
| 4 | that in answer to some of my questions, that wasn't | 4 | issue in the summer of 2012, that based on that, that |
| 5 | entirely accurate, correct? | 5 | NECC fell below the standard of care applicable to |
| 6 | MR. COREN: Objection to form. | 6 | them? |
| 7 | MR. MINTZER: Objection to form. | 7 | MR. COREN: Objection as to form. You can |
| 8 | A No. Your references were during the time | 8 | respond to that. |
| 9 | that I was on the board, and this was during the time | 9 | A Yes, |
| 10 | I was doing research regarding this assessment. | 10 | Q And can we agree that in the summer and |
| 11 | Q Can we agree that NECC caused the | 11 | fall of 2012, when this all happened, NECC failed in |
| 12 | contamination at issue here? You say that directly | 12 | its duty to do all the things we just discussed? |
| 13 | in your first paragraph, right? | 13 | MR. COREN: Objection as to form. |
| 14 | A I do. | 14 | A Why are you asking the same question and |
| 15 | Q And there are a couple few other quick | 15 | then asking for a summary of the same question? |
| 16 | things I think we can probably agree to, but let me | 16 | Q I don't think I am, but I can strike that |
| 17 | run through them. | 17 | one because that may be redundant. |
| 18 | Can we agree that NECC had a duty to its | 18 | A Yeah. |
| 19 | customers to provide safe products? | 19 | Q Can we agree that NECC violated the law in |
| 20 | MR. COREN: Objection to form. You can | 20 | these cases? |
| 21 | answer. | 21 | MR. COREN: Objection as to form. You can |
| 22 | A Yes, | 22 | respond. |
| 23 | Q Can we agree that NECC had a duty to | 23 | A Yes. |
| 24 | provide safe products so that patients could also be | 24 | Q Can we agree that NECC was not acting as a |
| 25 | safe? | 25 | true traditional compounder, even though they called |
| | Page 119 | | Page 121 |
| 1 | MR COREN: Objection to form, You can | 1 | themselves a compounder, they were acting as a |
| 2 | answer, | 2 | manufacturer? |
| 3 | A Yes. | 3 | MR. MINTZER: Objection to form. |
| 4 | Q Can we agree that NECC had a duty to | 4 | MR. COREN: You can answer, |
| 5 | accurately represent the safety and quality of its | 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | products to customers and potential customers? | 6 | Q And can we agree that NECC's conduct in |
| 7 | MR, COREN: Objection to form. You can | 7 | these ways caused injury to the patients in these |
| 8 | answer. | 8 | cases? |
| 9 | A Yes, | 9 | MR. MINTZER: Same objection. |
| 10 | Q Can you agree that in producing the | 10 | MR. COREN: Objection as to form. |
| 11 | recalled lots of steroids, the contaminated lots of | 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | steroids in the summer and fall of summer or fall | 12 | Q I want to talk briefly about an entity |
| 13 | of 2012, that NECC fell below the standard of care | 13 | called Medical Sales Management. Are you familiar |
| 14 | applicable to them | 14 | with Medical Sales Management? |
| 15 | MR. COREN: Objection. | 15 | A No. |
| 16 | Q as a compounding pharmacist | 16 | Q Okay. So there's been testimony in this |
| 17 | compounding pharmacy? | 17 | case about Medical Sales Management. So I want you |
| 18 | MR. COREN: Objection as to form. You can | 18 | to assume, because it's supported in the evidence |
| 19 | respond. | 19 | that it's also a fact that Medical Sales |
| 20 | A Please reask that question. | 20 | Management was also a part of the NECC cadre of |
| 21 | Q Sure. No problem. | 21 | companies all owned and operated by the same people, |
| 22 | A There was enough going on that I really am | 22 | the Caddens and the Conigliaros. |
| 23 | not sure I understood the question. | 23 | Can you assume that? It's a hypothetical |
| 24 | Q Can we agree and this is kind of based | 24 | question. |
| 25 | on the other agree questions that I asked. | 25 | MR. COREN: No, you can't. If you've got |
| 1 | | 1 | |



1201West Peachtree Street Suite 2300 Atlanta, GA 30309 404.847.0999 www.DiscoveryLit.com